

## United States Pent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/729,103	12/05/2000	Kenzi Suzuki	200538US0	6416
22850	7590 11/01/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC			EXAMINER	
FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			MEDINA SANABRIA, MARIBEL	
ARLINGTON	N, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	Q

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  Office Action Summary  Examiner Maribel Medina  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  Applicant(s)  SUZUKI ET AL.  1754  1754	
Office Action Summary  Examiner  Maribel Medina  1754  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2002	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
<ul><li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li><li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.</li></ul>	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1754

À . . 🗳

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The previous Restriction Requirement has been withdrawn and a new Restriction/Election requirement is submitted.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a method of producing a CuZnAlZr oxide catalyst and catalyst thereof, classified in class 502, subclass 355.
  - II. Claim 4, drawn to a CuZnZrCe oxide catalyst, classified in class 502, subclass304.
  - III. Claim 5, drawn to a CoCuZnAl oxide catalyst, classified in class 502, subclass 346.
  - IV. Claims 6-8, drawn to method for producing hydrogen gas, classified in class 423, subclass 652.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- a. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to different products.
- b. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to different products.

Art Unit: 1754

- c. Inventions I and IV are related as process of making, product, and process of using the product. In the instant case the use as claimed can be practiced with a materially different product.
- d. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to different products.
- e. Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process of using (i.e. Method of producing hydrogen gas) can be practiced with a materially different product such as CuZnAlZr or CoCuZnAl.
- f. Inventions III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process of using (i.e. Method of producing hydrogen gas) can be practiced with a materially different product such as CuZnZrCe or CuZnAlZr.

Art Unit: 1754

g. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification; the search required for each independent group is different from the other; and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

3. Claims 6-8 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising CuZnAlZr oxide catalyst; CuZnZrCe oxide catalyst; and CoCuZnAl oxide catalyst. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1754

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner Maribel Medina. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Examiner: Maribel Medina

Tel: 703-305-1928 Fax: 703-872-9310 October 25, 2002

> Supervisory Fatent Examiner Toolmology Carter 1700

Page 5